

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/772,119	SAHODA, KATSUMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u><i>finally rejected</i></u>	
(1) <u>Jonathan S. Crepeau</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Anthony Laurentano</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>21 November 2005</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Laurentano to offer an examiner's amendment (moving claims 18 and 20 into the independent claims) to place the application in condition for allowance. However, authorization to make the amendments was not received within several business days.